

**REGULAR MEETING**  
**DELAWARE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**  
**SEPTEMBER 14, 2005**

The regular meeting of the Delaware County Board of Supervisors was held Wednesday, September 14, 2005 at 7:00 P.M. in the Supervisors' Room of the Senator Charles D. Cook County Office Building, 111 Main Street, Delhi, New York, Chairman James E. Eisel, Sr. presiding.

The Clerk called the roll and all Supervisors were present.

Mr. Donnelly offered the invocation.

Mr. Bachler led the Board in the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag.

The minutes of the previous meeting were accepted as presented.

The Clerk read a note of thanks from Carolyn Anderson, President of the Catskill Area Hospice Hope Foundation Board of Directors, for the donation made by the Board of Supervisors in memory of former Board of Elections Commissioner Frank Oles.

The Clerk reported all other communications received have been referred to their respective committees for review.

Chairman Eisel granted privilege of the floor to Mr. Shelton who introduced Director of Economic Development Glen Nealis who provided a presentation regarding empire zones.

Mr. Nealis pointed out that there are currently 72 empire zones across the state and that Delaware County is one of eleven counties without an empire zone. He noted that in a number of cases Delaware County came up on the short end of the stick in trying to compete with other counties that have an empire zone.

This past June, the State Legislature, which has the power to create an empire zone, created 12 new zones designed for the eleven counties that do not currently have an empire zone and one for Chinatown. A time line for distribution of the zones has not been set by law but, all 12 zones must be designated by June of

2009. At this point, it is being assumed that three zones will be distributed each year. He said that applications for empire zones must be received by September 29, 2005 and the receipt of the application will play a part in how soon the designation is received.

The proposed zone designations are in the towns of Davenport, Delhi, Deposit, Hancock, Hobart, Sidney and Walton and explained that the parcels selected had to meet certain requirements. He discussed the criteria used to establish an empire zone, such as: a parcel must be 1,280 acres, must be a maximum of seven separate and distinct areas, all parcels within each area must be contiguous and must include entire tax parcels, with limited exceptions.

He provided the criteria list Delaware County used to select the parcels. The list consisted of retaining existing employment based companies, areas of future growth outside the watershed, areas ready for or in need of redevelopment, vacant parcels and underutilized parcels with high potential for development and required for making zone boundaries contiguous.

Mr. Nealis mentioned there will be administration and marketing expenses that the county will incur and provided an example of Otsego County's empire zone expenses from 2004 to 2006.

In response to Mr. Marshfield, Mr. Nealis explained that one of the costs of an empire zone is the need of a zone certification officer. The officer will go out and work with the business in order to get it certified to receive benefits. The business must achieve certain levels of investments and job creation in order to be certified.

Mr. Marshfield questioned what would be considered in-kind costs. Mr. Nealis replied there will be a great deal of staff time in working one on one with the businesses which will be used as in-kind costs.

In reply to Mr. Utter, Mr. Nealis explained that without an empire zone when a business moves into our area or expands the IDA receives many requests to minimize the business tax burden. In his opinion, with the empire zone the IDA will not have to be so kind as the business is receiving benefits from the state.

In answer to Mr. Bachler, Mr. Nealis stated that the county will be administrating this program on behalf of the state. Therefore, it will be a county function to make sure the businesses are certified and that they are doing what they are supposed to be doing.

Chairman Eisel stated that this is a very comprehensive plan and will be a benefit to the entire county.

Chairman Eisel granted privilege of the floor to Mr. Maddalone who introduced Director of Emergency Services Nelson Delameter.

Mr. Delameter explained that about a year ago the Sheriff received a grant to perform a comprehensive study of the county's governmental communication needs. The county contracted with L. Robert Kimball and Associates to perform this study based on their experience and expertise, noting the firm has worked in the counties of Chenango, Otsego, Schoharie, and Sullivan. He advised that Phase I of the communication study is now complete and the county has the option of moving to Phase II, the design stage, adding that Phase II is already included in L. Robert Kimball and Associates contract and will be paid for by the grant.

Chairman Eisel pointed out that this is a grant from Congressman Sweeney in the amount of \$168,895.00 for communications. He also noted that Congressman Sweeney is very active in trying to help us with additional funding for the future.

Mr. Delameter introduced Project Manager Kevin Karn and RF Engineer Jeff Forsha of L. Robert Kimball & Associates to discuss their findings. Mr. Forsha gave a brief powerpoint presentation concerning Delaware County's wireless communications infrastructure needs assessment. At the conclusion of the presentation, Mr. Karn handed out a copy of the complete assessment report to each of the Supervisors for their review.

Mr. Karn used the analogy of a vehicle with 250,000 miles to describe the county's aging communication system. He complimented those responsible for keeping the communication backbone up and running for the past 20 years but, in doing so the need to upgrade never became a priority. He pointed out that many of the needed parts for the system are no longer available

from the manufacturer and it has become necessary to do an upgrade all at once, rather than segment the upgrade over a period of years. He noted that the needs assessment report addresses the communication needs of the Department of Public Works, Public Health Nursing, Social Services, Probation and the Countryside Care Center.

Mr. Karn stated the assessment report indicates to substantially increase coverage the county would need to have upwards of ten towers, adding the county currently has six towers. He explained that having ten towers would provide 61 percent coverage. The optimal coverage being 95 percent of the time is not obtainable unless you choose to spend between \$30 to \$40 million.

He suggested the best approach from a common sense perspective is the estimated cost of \$11.7 million for the VHF high-band configuration or approximately \$12.1 million for the UHF band configuration.

Mr. Forsha detailed the study's findings pointing out, the obsolete and fragile microwave backbone network and that the Fire/EMS and DPW fixed radio equipment has exceeded typical service life, as well as poor conditions at most sites.

The surveyed user group reported that there is insufficient area coverage, less than 15 percent county area coverage on fire channel talk-back from portables outdoors and less than 21 percent county area coverage on Sheriff's channel talk-back from portables outdoors.

There were two options recommended. Option one is to develop a new county radio system to promote interoperability, improve reliability, expand coverage and increase capacity for all agencies, while adding voice security on select channels as required. It was also recommended that an open dialogue be kept with the state to stay abreast of New York State Wireless Network progress to identify any possible opportunities that may promote future interoperability and/or cost savings

The second option is to go with the New York State Wireless Network Participation Program. However, this program is set up for mobile design and does not address the needs of portable service that first responders have, adding if you chose to go this direction it would require augmentation of the system.

Mr. Karn answered in reply to Mr. Marshfield that they are working with adjacent counties to find locations that would be suitable for a joint venture.

In response to Mr. Bachler, Mr. Karn explained that there are five common sites and two other sites that are not shared. Part of what is being looked at is to get everybody on the same site so we have a common footprint and save money by not leasing more sites than we need. He added that five of the existing sites will be reused.

Mr. Thomas noted that dead spots are a common problem and even at 95 percent there would still be dead spots. In his opinion he cannot see the county going for anything less than the best. Mr. Karn pointed out that the recommended guideline for public safety is 95 percent but, added the reality is that funding is not always available. His suggestion is to go for as much as the county can afford.

In reply to Mr. Thomas, Mr. Karn answered that he did not have a figure on simply replacing what the county already has. He added that a good percentage of the \$11.7 million is equipment that would need to be replaced because almost everything is need of upgrade at this point. He noted that the Sheriff's site with the state has a fine tower and a new building because the state replaced it and they will try to reuse these sites to the best of their ability.

Mr. Karn stated in reply to Chairman Eisel, that the frequency band will be determined in the next stage. With regard to state of the art technology in terms of satellite communication Mr. Karn said he did not think that would be happening anytime soon. He explained that satellites do not get into buildings, they work okay outside but, not under trees, in a parking garage, not in a home, adding the fireman is at home and he needs to get that call.

Mr. Karn explained in answer to Mr. Marshfield, that 95 percent coverage meant that there would be coverage in up to 95 percent of the county with a talk back from a handheld portable outside, adding mobile performance would be substantially better.

Mr. Maddalone pointed out that our current system has a repeater, explaining that a firemen could be talking through his radio to his vehicle and his vehicle would transmit it back to 911.

Mr. Karn noted that the ten site plans recommends a vehicle repeater for that purpose.

In response to Mr. Bracci, Mr. Karn said if the state program comes on line after the upgrade the county would be in a fairly good position to negotiate space to the state on the county's towers.

In reply to Mr. Thomas, Mr. Karn stated that approximately \$60,000.00 has been expended to date. Chairman Eisel added the grant covers everything but the implementation.

Mr. Marshfield commented that in his opinion the frequency search is most important at this time.

In answer to Mr. Hynes, Mr. Karn noted that the state's request for proposal included VHF high band, which is the same as what is being recommended to the county.

Mr. Utter offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

**RESOLUTION NO. 204**

**TITLE: FUNDS TRANSFER FROM RENT TO  
EQUIPMENT FOR COPIER  
DEPARTMENT OF WATERSHED AFFAIRS**

**WHEREAS**, the copier used by the Department of Watershed Affairs and Economic Development is in need of replacement

**NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED**, the Delaware County Board of Supervisors approve a transfer from rent to equipment for the purchase of a copier.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the 2005 budget be amended as follows:

**DECREASE APPROPRIATION:**

10-18740-54565020	Rent/Lease Building	\$4,810.00
-------------------	---------------------	------------

**INCREASE APPROPRIATIONS:**

10-18740-52200000 Equipment \$4,810.00

The resolution was seconded by Mr. Thomas and adopted by the following vote: Ayes 4806, Noes 0, Absent 0.

Ms. Molé offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

**RESOLUTION NO. 205**

**TITLE: 2005 BUDGET MODIFICATION  
MENTAL HEALTH**

**WHEREAS**, the 2005 budget has an appropriation of \$8,000.00 under account number 10-14390 Mental Health Hygiene Law Expense to cover any expense that may occur if any individual is placed in a NYS Office of Mental Health facility pursuant to an order of County Criminal Court; and

**WHEREAS**, the Board had passed Resolution No. 165 on June 23, 2005 to cover the additional expenses at that time in the amount of \$275.00; and

**WHEREAS**, it appears that the current bill and the estimated final billing will create an additional shortfall of approximately \$12,000.00.

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED**, that the following budget modification be made:

**INCREASE APPROPRIATION:**

10-14390-54155000 Certification Expense \$12,000.00

**DECREASE APPROPRIATION:**

10-11990-54900000 Contingency \$12,000.00

The resolution was seconded by Mr. Maddalone and adopted by the following vote: Ayes 4806, Noes 0, Absent 0.

Mr. Utter offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

**RESOLUTION NO. 206**

**TITLE: AUTHORIZATION FOR CHANGE ORDER #1  
PROP. SW1-05 TANDEM AXLE ROLL-OFF  
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS**

**WHEREAS**, Resolution No. 98 of 2005 authorized the County Department of Public Works made award to Tracy Road Equipment for the purchase of two tandem axle roll-off trucks; and

**WHEREAS**, the Department seeks to change the truck engines to provide greater horsepower and fuel economy; and

**WHEREAS**, such change requires a substantive change to the vehicles prior to build-out;

**BE IT RESOLVED**, that the Department of Public Works is authorized to execute the following change order:

Change Order No. 1- SW1-05

Award to: Tracey Road  
Equipment, 6803 Manlius  
Center Road, East Syracuse,  
NY 13057

Increase of \$900.00 per truck  
to increase engine  
horsepower to 450hp in both  
trucks.

The resolution was seconded by Mr. Rider.

Mr. Thomas stated that this is a smart thing to do at this stage for a small amount of money.

The resolution was adopted by the following vote: Ayes 4806, Noes 0, Absent 0.

Mr. Haynes offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

**RESOLUTION NO. 207**

**TITLE AUTHORIZATION FOR AWARD  
BUILDING MAINTENANCE DEPARTMENT**

**WHEREAS**, Delaware County Board of Supervisors has voted to renovate the old jail facility on the Courthouse Square into office spaces; and

**WHEREAS**, the department sent out bids for replacement windows to save energy in the newly renovated building; and

**WHEREAS**, the department and committee chair received only one bid and the bid documents have been reviewed by the County Attorney and approved as submitted.

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED**, that the Maintenance Department be authorized to award the bid to the sole bidder meeting the bid specifications as follows:

Replacement windows for Old County Jail:

Curtis Lumber Co., Inc.  
Route 10  
Delhi, NY 13753

Bid Price: \$84,804.66

The resolution was seconded by Mr. Woodford.

In response to Mr. Marshfield, Mr. Haynes said that two companies responded Pella and Marvin Windows through Curtis Lumber.

In answer to Mr. Thomas, Mr. Haynes stated that he believed our people were going to install the windows.

Mr. Haynes stated in answer to Mr. Bachler that there were over fifty windows quoted in the bid.

The resolution was adopted by the following vote: Ayes 4806, Noes 0, Absent 0.

Mr. Maddalone offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

**RESOLUTION NO. 208**

**TITLE: AUTHORIZATION FOR AWARD  
EMERGENCY SERVICES**

**LETTING OF AUGUST 31, 2005**

**WHEREAS**, Delaware County has received a grant from Homeland Security for 2005 for equipment; and

**WHEREAS**, an emergency response air rescue boat was approved in that grant by FEMA, and contract documents were signed; and

**WHEREAS**, notice to bidders and proposals received having been filed and the bidding procedures and documents having been reviewed; and

**NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED**, that the Delaware County Department of Emergency Services is authorized to make award to the sole bidder meeting specifications as follows:

Search and Rescue Airboat with Trailer:  
Rivercraft LLC.

5008 NYS Rte 23  
Norwich, NY 13815

Bid Price: \$32,676.00

The resolution was seconded by Mr. Utter.

In reply to Mr. Thomas, Mr. Delameter said that the rescue boat will be housed at the Public Safety Building Complex.

The resolution was adopted by the following vote: Ayes 4806, Noes 0, Absent 0.

Mr. Utter offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

**RESOLUTION NO. 209**

**TITLE: AUTHORIZATION TO INCREASE FUNDING OF  
COUNTY ROUTE 28, RETAINING WALL PROJECT  
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS**

**WHEREAS**, Resolution No. 251 of 2003 authorized the Chairman of the Board to execute a project cooperative agreement with the US Army Corps of Engineers (COE) for the reconstruction of a retaining wall on County Route 28; and

**WHEREAS**, Resolution No. 100 of 2004 authorized the Department of Public Works (DPW) to make advance payment to the COE in the amount of \$517,000 for its share of the project; and

**WHEREAS**, Resolution No. 171 of 2004 authorized the DPW to notify the COE that the county supported award of the base bid plus Option #1 which included an on site detour; and

**WHEREAS**, the local share of the award was identified as \$841,535; and

**WHEREAS**, the DPW has been notified that there have been increases in the cost of the project due to field conditions encountered which have increased the county share to \$856,035.

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED**, that the county hereby accepts the increase in costs in accordance with the project cooperative agreement; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the DPW is hereby authorized to make the second advance payment to the COE in the amount of \$339,035, which is the current remainder of the county share of the project.

The resolution was seconded by Mr. Woodford and adopted by the following vote: Ayes 4806, Noes 0, Absent 0.

Mr. Shelton offered the following Local Law.

#### **LOCAL LAW NO. 1 OF 2005**

#### **AUTHORIZING THE DELAWARE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS TO MAKE APPLICATION FOR DESIGNATION OF CERTAIN AREAS WITHIN THE COUNTY AS AN EMPIRE ZONE**

**WHEREAS**, pursuant to section 961 of Article 18-B of the General Municipal Law the Delaware County Board of

Supervisors hereby authorizes Delaware County to prepare and submit the County's application for designation as an Empire Zone, including the boundaries thereto, to the New York State Commissioner of Economic Development; and

**WHEREAS**, such designation could greatly benefit Delaware County in that new businesses would be encouraged to locate in the zone area, existing businesses would be encouraged to expand in the zone areas, and new and expanded businesses would generate new jobs for County residents.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ENACTED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF DELAWARE**, as follows:

**Section 1.** Local Law No. 1 of 2002 is repealed.

**Section 2.** The County of Delaware is hereby authorized and empowered to submit an application for designation of certain areas within Delaware County as an Empire Zone; provided, however, that such authorization and empowerment shall be conditioned upon the prior concurrence with respect to such application of the governing bodies of any and all cities, towns and villages in which such zone is located.

**Section 3.** The boundaries of said areas to be included in said Empire Zone shall be as set forth in Schedule A, which is attached hereto and made a part hereof.

**Section 4.** Pursuant to requirements of Section 963 of the General Municipal Law, the Economic Development Specialist, or other designee of the Chairman of the Delaware County Board of Supervisors shall serve as the Local Empire Zone Certification Officer of the Delaware County Empire Zone, and shall perform the following duty, to wit: certify, jointly with the New York State Commissioner of Economic Development and the New York State Commissioner of Labor, those businesses enterprises that are eligible to receive benefits referred to in Section 966 of the General Municipal Law, and any other applicable statutes.

**Section 5.** Pursuant to Article 18-B of the General Municipal Law, a Local Empire Zone Administrative Board is hereby established to consist of not less than six (6) members. All appointments to the Board shall be made by the Chairman of the

Delaware County Board of Supervisors subject to approval of the Delaware County Board of Supervisors. The members shall not include the Local Empire Zone Certification Officer, and shall include representatives of local business, organized labor, financial institutions, local educational institutions, community organizations, and at least one resident of the Empire Zone. The Chairperson of the Board shall be the Chairman of the Delaware County Economic Development Committee, or other designee of the Chairman of the Delaware County Board of Supervisors. The Local Empire Zone Administrative Board shall perform all duties required of it pursuant to Section 963(b) of the General Municipal Law.

**Section 6.** This local law shall take effect upon filing in the Office of the Secretary of State as provided by Section 27 of the Municipal Home Rule Law.

**SCHEDULE A**

**EMPIRE ZONE BOUNDARY DELINEATION**

The Empire Zone encompasses a total of 1,279.91 ± acres in seven (7) noncontiguous areas within Delaware County.

**Davenport Area**

Parcel #	X Coord. <sup>1</sup>	Y Coord. <sup>1</sup>	Acres
21.-1-1	498426.372 89	4699958.53493	
21.-1-1	498385.919 91	4699286.00410	
21.-1-1	498655.606 45	4699215.21139	
21.-1-1	498674.147 40	4699468.04252	
21.-1-1	498586.499 28	4699478.15577	
21.-1-1	498605.040 23	4699845.60369	
21.-1-1	498520.763 18	4699977.07588	
21.-1-1	498500.536 69	4699228.69571	

Parcel #	X Coord. <sup>1</sup>	Y Coord. <sup>1</sup>	Acres
21.-1-1	498571.329 41	4699211.84030	
21.-1-1	498569.643 87	4699173.07286	
21.-1-1	498493.794 53	4699179.81503	38.00
21.-1-2.11			4.35
21.-1-2.32			3.20
21.-1-2.33			8.90
21.-1-2.34			0.83
21.-1-2.35			0.92
21.-1-2.36			1.97
21.-1-2.37			1.65
21.-1-2.381			20.36
21.-1-2.382			2.54
21.-1-2.39			6.28
21.-1-3.1			9.92
21.-1-5.1			18.60
21.-1-5.2			2.59
21.-1-6	499433.169 16	4698969.49570	
21.-1-6	499451.931 21	4699366.62579	
21.-1-6	499505.090 36	4699381.21850	
21.-1-6	499518.640 73	4699397.89588	
21.-1-6	499583.265 58	4699422.91195	
21.-1-6	499616.620 34	4699429.16597	
21.-1-6	499666.652 47	4699415.61560	
21.-1-6	499755.251 05	4699367.66813	
21.-1-6	499820.918 23	4699309.29730	
21.-1-6	499849.061 31	4699243.63012	
21.-1-6	499855.315 33	4699147.73519	

Parcel #	X Coord. <sup>1</sup>	Y Coord. <sup>1</sup>	Acres
21.-1-6	499834.468 60	4699035.16288	
21.-1-6	499819.875 90	4699024.73952	
21.-1-6	499619.747 34	4699054.96727	
21.-1-6	499581.180 90	4698885.06647	38.20
21.-1-63			1.95
21.-1-7.11			5.00
21.-1-7.12			5.26
21.-1-79			1.50
21.-1-83			1.50

### Delhi Area

Parcel #	X Coord. <sup>1</sup>	Y Coord. <sup>1</sup>	Acres
171.10-7-17			1.04
171.10-7-18			0.35
171.10-7-23			1.30
171.10-7-24			0.28
171.10-7-25			0.29
171.10-7-26			1.80
171.10-7-27			0.64
171.10-7-28			1.60
171.10-7-			0.84
171.10-7-30			0.07
171.10-7-31			0.26
171.10-7-32			0.59
171.-1-14			0.79
171.-1-15			0.68
171.-1-17			0.77
171.-1-18			1.00
171.-1-19			19.41
171.14-1-1.1			1.38
171.14-1-1.2			0.52
171.14-1-3			2.60
171.14-1-6			0.66
171.14-1-7			7.20
171.14-1-8.2			9.91
171.18-2-10			0.50
171.18-2-4			0.55

Parcel #	X Coord. <sup>1</sup>	Y Coord. <sup>1</sup>	Acres
171.18-2-5			2.70
171.18-2-6			0.11
171.18-3-8			0.43
171.18-5-2			20.16
192.-1-10	503777.816 85	4678182.14365	
192.-1-10	503776.979 50	4678157.02325	
192.-1-10	503761.069 92	4678110.96918	
192.-1-10	503724.641 14	4678096.62668	
192.-1-10	503704.130 34	4678098.40898	
192.-1-10	503679.847 29	4678110.96918	
192.-1-10	503663.937 70	4678108.79511	
192.-1-10	503653.052 19	4678115.99326	
192.-1-10	503666.449 74	4678139.43897	
192.-1-10	503666.449 74	4678148.64978	
192.-1-10	503657.238 92	4678145.30040	
192.-1-10	503574.341 60	4678035.60798	
192.-1-10	503425.293 89	4678088.36082	
192.-1-10	503495.631 01	4678219.82425	
192.-1-10	503534.986 31	4678268.39036	11.32
192.-1-11.1			14.65
192.-1-11.2			3.00
192.-1-14			3.50
192.-1-15			1.52
192.-1-23	503694.928 31	4678404.82416	
192.-1-23	503826.659 29	4678448.26735	

<u>Parcel #</u>	<u>X Coord.<sup>1</sup></u>	<u>Y Coord.<sup>1</sup></u>	<u>Acres</u>
192.-1-23	503949.981 90	4678420.23948	
192.-1-23	504067.698 94	4678347.36703	
192.-1-23	504245.675 90	4678312.33220	
192.-1-23	504448.623 42	4678281.89988	
192.-1-23	504360.351 85	4677931.97207	
192.-1-23	504255.485 66	4678030.65213	
192.-1-23	504198.028 53	4678173.59425	
192.-1-23	504092.924 03	4678287.10711	
192.-1-23	503843.476 01	4678371.19072	
192.-1-23	503777.610 52	4678211.43187	
192.-1-23	503701.935 28	4678305.32523	
192.-1-23	503727.160 36	4678350.16982	28.04
192.-1-28			4.50
192.-1-29.1	504572.911 34	4678260.95470	
192.-1-29.1	504854.409 41	4678266.26598	
192.-1-29.1	505011.977 51	4678197.21929	
192.-1-29.1	505056.238 21	4678207.84186	
192.-1-29.1	505056.238 21	4678259.18427	
192.-1-29.1	505082.794 63	4678259.18427	
192.-1-29.1	505185.479 46	4678296.36326	
192.-1-29.1	505196.102 03	4678273.34769	

<u>Parcel #</u>	<u>X Coord.<sup>1</sup></u>	<u>Y Coord.<sup>1</sup></u>	<u>Acres</u>
192.-1-29.1	504921.685 68	4678009.55391	
192.-1-29.1	504700.382 16	4677901.55779	
192.-1-29.1	504633.105 90	4677917.49165	
192.-1-29.1	504456.063 09	4677915.72122	
192.-1-29.1	504420.654 52	4677894.47608	
192.-1-29.1	504411.802 38	4678114.00917	
192.-1-29.1	504361.805 51	4677931.21024	
192.-1-29.1	504491.471 65	4678060.89632	
192.-1-29.1	504505.635 07	4678156.49944	
192.-1-29.1	504541.043 64	4678135.25430	38.60
192.-1-51			24.00
192.-1-53			4.70
192.-1-63.1			57.76
192.-1-65			4.38
192.-1-83			8.17
Unassigned Parcel	505187.792 35	4678299.14172	
Unassigned Parcel	505198.210 60	4678276.70240	
Unassigned Parcel	505465.078 12	4678517.92500	1.78

### Deposit Area

<u>Parcel #</u>	<u>X Coord.<sup>1</sup></u>	<u>Y Coord.<sup>1</sup></u>	<u>Acres</u>
349.13-4-1			1.50
349.13-4-10			0.28
349.13-4-11			0.25
349.13-4-12			0.53
349.13-4-13			0.13
349.13-4-14			0.13

Parcel #	X Coord. <sup>1</sup>	Y Coord. <sup>1</sup>	Acres
349.13-4-15			0.13
349.13-4-16			0.13
349.13-4-17			1.00
349.13-4-18			0.25
349.13-4-19			0.13
349.13-4-2			0.13
349.13-4-20			0.36
349.13-4-21			0.13
349.13-4-22			0.13
349.13-4-23			0.25
349.13-4-3			0.50
349.13-4-4			0.13
349.13-4-5			0.25
349.13-4-6			0.13
349.13-4-7			0.25
349.13-4-8			0.13
349.13-4-9			0.13
349.-1-38	466466.655 10	4658221.78218	
349.-1-38	466507.733 39	4658281.21459	
349.-1-38	466542.693 63	4658303.93875	
349.-1-38	466667.676 49	4658236.64028	
349.-1-38	466570.661 82	4657953.46233	
349.-1-38	466506.859 38	4657992.79260	
349.-1-38	466555.803 72	4658074.07516	
349.-1-38	466541.819 62	4658082.81522	
349.-1-38	466578.527 88	4658150.98769	7.67
349.14-1-1			0.50
349.14-1-10			1.25
349.14-1-2			0.62
349.14-1-4.3			1.17
349.-1-42.12			12.55
349.-1-			0.32
349.-1-			0.69

<u>Parcel #</u>	<u>X Coord.<sup>1</sup></u>	<u>Y Coord.<sup>1</sup></u>	<u>Acres</u>
349.-1-43.1	466357.046 26	4658083.60882	
349.-1-43.1	466367.072 76	4658077.37613	
349.-1-43.1	466298.784 15	4657947.84457	
349.-1-43.1	466291.196 53	4657952.45134	
349.-1-43.1	466320.463 08	4658002.04188	
349.-1-43.1	466336.451 28	4658046.48367	0.33
349.-1-43.2			9.38
349.-1-44			0.87
349.-1-45.1	466228.051 46	4657831.97549	
349.-1-45.1	466239.413 54	4657823.23543	
349.-1-45.1	466129.288 78	4657603.85992	
349.-1-45.1	466061.990 31	4657421.19265	
349.-1-45.1	466051.502 24	4657429.05871	
349.-1-45.1	466072.478 38	4657506.84525	
349.-1-45.1	466091.706 52	4657579.38775	
349.-1-45.1	466095.202 54	4657627.45808	
349.-1-45.1	466107.438 62	4657663.29233	
349.-1-45.1	466145.894 89	4657683.39446	
349.-1-45.1	466177.359 11	4657727.09477	
349.-1-45.1	466192.217 21	4657769.04706	2.42
349.-1-45.2			0.27
349.17-4-2			0.50
349.17-4-4			0.25
349.17-4-5			0.55

<u>Parcel #</u>	<u>X Coord.<sup>1</sup></u>	<u>Y Coord.<sup>1</sup></u>	<u>Acres</u>
349.17-4-6			0.64
349.17-4-7			0.59
349.17-5-5.4			1.00
349.17-6-1			0.13
349.17-6-10			0.50
349.17-6-2			0.13
349.17-6-3			0.88
349.17-6-4			2.40
349.17-6-6			0.13
349.17-6-7			0.24
349.17-6-8			0.13
349.17-6-9			0.13
367.-1-			16.83
367.-1-24.2			77.50
367.-1-26			10.80
367.-1-27.1			4.62
367.-1-28.1			4.20
367.-1-28.2			0.15
367.-1-29			0.58
367.-1-30.3			1.32
367.-1-33			0.57
367.-1-35			5.50
367.5-1-10			0.25
367.5-1-11			0.25
367.5-1-12			0.50
367.5-1-			0.91
367.5-1-			0.20
367.5-1-13.2			1.25
367.5-1-14			0.13
367.5-1-			0.70
367.5-1-			0.27
367.5-1-			0.20
367.5-1-15.2			0.27
367.5-1-16			0.13
367.5-1-17.1			0.02
367.5-1-17.2			0.88
367.5-1-19.1			7.30
367.5-1-19.2			0.36
367.5-1-			4.60
367.5-1-			2.60
367.5-1-			5.07

<u>Parcel #</u>	<u>X Coord.<sup>1</sup></u>	<u>Y Coord.<sup>1</sup></u>	<u>Acres</u>
367.5-1-21			7.30
367.5-1-25			0.37
367.5-1-26.1			3.41
367.5-1-26.2			0.29
367.5-1-4.2			1.40
367.5-1-5			3.16
367.5-1-6			0.34
367.5-1-7			0.50
367.5-1-8			0.13
367.5-1-9			0.13

**Hancock Area**

<b>Parcel #</b>	<b>X Coord.<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>Y Coord.<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>Acre</b>
439.-1-1	476950.712 52	4643530.91389	
439.-1-1	477157.375 38	4643528.29790	
439.-1-1	477166.531 33	4643118.89616	
439.-1-1	477165.223 33	4643103.20025	
439.-1-1	477184.843 23	4643103.20025	
439.-1-1	477199.231 15	4642836.36972	
439.-1-1	476928.476 64	4642924.00524	
439.-1-1	476921.936 68	4642984.17291	
439.-1-1	476928.476 64	4643033.87663	
439.-1-1	476957.252 48	4643159.44394	
439.-1-1	476957.252 48	4643269.31533	
439.-1-1	476965.100 44	4643377.87873	
439.-1-1	476963.792 45	4643481.21016	33.40
439.-1-21.2			17.69
439.-1-31			29.80
439.6-1-1.1			36.73

### Hobart Area

<b>Parcel #</b>	<b>Acres</b>
69.17-3-	0.27
69.17-3-23.1	6.07
69.17-3-23.2	1.00
69.17-3-25	0.58
69.17-3-31	0.45
88.5-3-4	0.50

Parcel #	Acres
88.5-3-5.1	2.00
88.5-3-5.2	0.14
88.5-3-5.3	0.05
88.5-4-1	0.13
88.5-4-10	2.07
88.5-4-11	4.69
88.5-4-12	0.50
88.5-4-13	0.71
88.5-4-15	4.38
88.5-4-16	1.31
88.5-4-2	0.25
88.5-4-3.1	3.02
88.5-4-3.2	0.13
88.5-4-3.3	3.44
88.5-4-4	0.21
88.5-4-5	0.27
88.5-4-7	0.25
88.5-4-8	0.73
88.5-4-9.1	0.63

**Sidney Area**

Parcel #	Acres
115.-1-11.1	1.71
115.-1-11.2	9.93
115.-1-12.2	6.20
115.-1-13	0.42
115.-1-2	12.00
115.12-11-1	0.25
115.12-11-2	0.93
115.12-11-3	6.40
115.12-11-6	0.25
115.12-11-7	0.25
115.12-12-12	0.92
115.-1-3	2.00
115.-1-4.111	17.04
115.-1-4.212	2.02
115.-1-4.213	2.13
115.-1-4.22	1.91
115.-1-4.23	6.97
115.-1-4.24	2.60
115.-1-4.26	3.14

Parcel #	Acres
115.-1-4.27	2.62
115.-1-4.28	2.87
115.15-2-1.21	2.10
115.15-8-2	42.00
115.-1-6.11	21.00
115.-1-6.3	3.00
115.-1-7.21	7.72
115.-1-7.22	4.69
115.-1-8	12.25
115.-2-1	2.00
115.-2-2	2.00
115.-2-3	5.42
115.-2-4	6.17
115.-2-5	4.09
115.-2-6	2.74
115.-2-7	4.02
115.-2-8	3.12
138.-1-1	11.54
138.-1-2	8.40
138.-1-3.2	25.57
138.-1-4.12	1.34
138.-1-4.321	1.13
138.-1-5.1	6.20
138.-1-5.2	6.00
138.-1-6.111	9.20
138.-1-6.12	9.16
138.-1-8	10.37

#### Walton Area

Parcel #	Acres
251.18-2-18	0.95
251.18-2-19	2.00
251.18-2-20	3.41
251.18-3-3.1	2.20
251.18-3-3.2	13.15
251.18-3-5	1.30
251.18-4-4	0.55
251.18-4-9	2.29
273.10-3-15	1.60
273.10-4-4	1.80

Parcel #	Acres
273.11-7-3	1.40
273.11-7-45	10.40
273.11-7-72	0.25
273.11-7-73	0.25
273.11-7-74	0.25
273.11-7-75	0.25
273.11-7-76	0.25
273.11-7-77	0.25
273.11-7-79	0.64
273.-1-7.1	38.60
273.-1-9-211	10.65
273.-1-9-212	2.00
273.6-4-2	1.00
273.6-4-3	2.83
273.6-4-7	1.00
273.6-6-1	7.40
273.6-6-4	0.50
273.6-6-5	1.58
273.6-6-6	3.62
273.6-6-8	15.30
273.7-7-27.2	4.10
273.7-7-28	1.00
273.7-7-29	0.10
273.7-6-11	.13
273.7-6-12.1	.19
273.7-6-12.2	.08
273.7-6-2	.08
273.7-6-3	.4
273.7-6-5	.19
273.7-6-7	.5
273.7-4-7	.27
273.7-4-8	.06
273.7-4-9	.06
273.7-4-10	.04
273.7-4-11	.03
273.7-4-13	.17
273.7-7-21	.11
273.7-7-22	.14
273.7-1-34	.14
273.7-1-35	.1
273.7-1-36	.08

Parcel #	Acres
273.7-1-37	.12
273.7-1-38	3.1
273.7-2-24	.07
273.7-2-23	.07
273.7-2-25	.13
273.7-2-22	.15
273.7-2-21	.14
273.7-2-20	.06
273.7-2-19	.06
273.7-2-18	.06
273.7-2-17	.04
273.7-2-16	.05
273.7-7-1	.27
273.7-7-2	.24
273.7-3	1.11
273.6-6-7	.84
273.7-7-4	1.08
273.7-7-5	.3
273.7-7-6	.25
273-7-7-8	.05
273-7-7-7	.2
273.7-7-9	1.46
273.7-7-10	.33
273-7-7-12	.32
273.7-7-13	1.23
273.7-7-16	.5
273-7-7-26	.78
273.7-6-10	.78
273.7-1-42	1.03
273.7-1-43	1.13
273-7-1-40	2.09
273-7-1-39	.11
273.7-7-15	.16
273-7-7-17	.09
273.7-7-18	.2
273-7-7-19	.1
273.7-7-20	.18
273.7-1-41	3.28

1UTMNA83 X & Y Coordinates (Meters)

The Local Law was seconded by Mr. Meredith.

Director of Economic Development Glen Nealis explained a parcel of land located in the town of Walton had been removed and a number of replacement parcels were added in its place.

Chairman Eisel noted the key is that the land has to be contiguous, adding there was one questionable parcel in the town of Walton.

Mr. Nealis commented that this change brings the total acreage up to 1279.91.

In reply to Mr. Utter, Chairman Eisel stated the Local Law will be voted upon at the next meeting as the application has to be in Albany by September 29, 2005.

Mr. Nealis commented in answer to Chairman Eisel that the consensus is there will be three empire zones selected each year.

In response to Mr. Marshfield, Mr. Nealis stated that there is no telling how long it will take for the committee to approve the empire zones. He added that people think it will be by the end of the year however, there is nothing in law that says they have to go according to a set schedule only that by 2009 all 12 empire zones must be designated.

In answer to Chairman Eisel, Mr. Nealis confirmed that they have three empire zones funded in the budget this year.

Mr. Shelton requested that Local Law No. 1 be withdrawn for further review by the committee and reintroduced after recess.

Chairman Eisel stated that Local Law No. 1 will be withdrawn and reintroduced after recess. He also noted that Resolution No. 210 will be called up after recess.

Mr. Utter offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

#### **RESOLUTION NO. 211**

#### **TITLE: DEDICATION OF CO-COMPOSTING FACILITY DEPARTMENT OF SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT**

**WHEREAS**, Mr. Charles LaFever served as Supervisor for the Town of Bovina from January 1978 to December 2001; and

**WHEREAS**, Mr. LaFever served as Chairman of the Public Works Committee from 1986 until he retired in 2001; and

**WHEREAS**, under Mr. LaFever's direction the County developed a Comprehensive Solid Waste Management Plan ensuring that Delaware County would have a long term cost effective solid waste disposal capacity; and

**WHEREAS**, Mr. LaFever worked tirelessly at implementing the Solid Waste Management Plan which included the construction of the Co-Composting Facility; and

**WHEREAS**, the Co-Composting Facility would not have become a reality without his leadership and dedication.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, that the Delaware County Board of Supervisors does hereby dedicate the Co-Composting Facility to Mr. Charles LaFever in honor of his service and dedication to Delaware County, the Delaware County Department of Public Works and above all the citizens of Delaware County.

The resolution was seconded by Mr. Rider.

Mr. Utter noted that Mr. LaFever dedicated himself to the Department of Public Works and especially to this composting facility and explained the committee felt his involvement and steadfast dedication was the reason to dedicate the facility in his honor. A ribbon cutting ceremony is scheduled for Monday, September 19<sup>th</sup>, at 1:00 p.m. at the composting facility.

Mr. Utter noted that the latest figures given to him put the cost of the facility at \$21,300,000.00. He explained that the plan for this facility started in 1992 and in 1996 the estimated cost of the facility was \$16,900,000.00 and in the year 2000 the Board passed the last resolution to go ahead with facility. In his opinion, the quality of this facility is due to the dedication and expertise of our own men.

In addition, Mr. Utter noted that this facility has been and will continue to be funded by the 1 percent sales tax that is

dedicated to solid waste, adding there has been no property tax money used for this project. He invited the Supervisors to visit the facility commenting this facility will be viewed upon as one in the nation and maybe one in the world.

In reply to Mr. Marshfield, Mr. Utter noted that the systems are being debugged at this time, and believes the facility will be running by the end of the month. He noted the reason for the ribbon cutting before the facility is operating is for safety purposes, adding taking people through the facility when it is running would be a dangerous.

Chairman Eisel commented that people are going to want to tour this facility. Mr. Utter noted that tours would have to be very structured.

Ms. Molé suggested that copies of the resolution be forwarded to the family.

Mr. Rowe commented on Mr. LaFever's participation with the bridge program and composting noting he seemed to be a gentleman that had a firm grasp on the future. He further noted that Mr. Utter has carried on the tradition and deserves a pat on the back as well.

The resolution was unanimously adopted.

Mr. Maddalone offered the following resolution for adoption:

**RESOLUTION NO. 212**

**TITLE: OBSERVANCE OF SHERIFFS' WEEK IN NEW  
YORK STATE  
SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT**

**WHEREAS**, on September 18-24, 2005, the eighteenth annual Sheriff's Week will be celebrated throughout New York State; and

**WHEREAS**, the citizens of Delaware County take this opportunity to officially recognize the men and women employed by the Delaware County Sheriff's Office who in their individual capacity play a pivotal role in providing police protection 365 days

a year; and

**WHEREAS**, the Delaware County Sheriff's Office has been faithfully discharging the duties of Sheriff since 1797 and has evolved from humble beginnings into a state-of-the art Public Safety Building/100-bed Correctional Facility Complex; and

**WHEREAS**, in the unique structure and the status that it carries in county government, it has extended far beyond the traditional "keeper of the peace" role into almost every facet of public service,

**THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, that during the week of September 18-24, 2005, we acknowledge those who assist their fellow man during the best and worst of times. Their role is a silent one until they are needed. But once called into service, they are ever quick to respond, respectful and resourceful. We are fortunate that those individuals have chosen to serve our county so dutifully as the protectors of mankind.

The resolution was seconded by Mr. Bracci and unanimously adopted.

Chairman Eisel waived Board Rule 10 to permit the introduction without objection of the following not pre-filed resolution.

Mr. Thomas offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

**RESOLUTION NO. 213**

**TITLE: COMPREHENSIVE SEX OFFENDER  
MANAGEMENT GRANT  
DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES**

**WHEREAS**, the Delaware County Department of Social Services applied for a U.S. Department of Justice sex offender management grant on behalf of Delaware County human service and law enforcement departments and the counties of Chenango, Otsego and Schoharie and their respective human service and law enforcement departments, and

**WHEREAS**, the U.S. Department of Justice has awarded a

two-year grant to Delaware County Department of Social Services in the amount of \$250,000 to implement a four-county sex offender management program

**THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED** that the Delaware County Department of Social Services is authorized to accept this grant on behalf of the four counties and that the 2005 County Budget is modified as follows:

**INCREASE REVENUE:**

10-16010-44468900-6010600-971 Fed Otr S ~~\$250,000.00~~

**INCREASE APPROPRIATIONS:**

10-16010-54200039-6010600-971	Con Srvs Sex Offender Mgt	\$233,265.00
10-16010-54615000-6010600-971	Training	11,175.00
10-16010-54595320-6010600-971	Supplies Office	960.00
10-16010-52200001-6010600-971	Equip	4,600.00
TOTAL		\$250,000.00

The resolution was seconded by Mr. Marshfield.

Mr. Thomas commented that this program will be administered by Delaware County’s Department of Social Services but will also include the counties of Chenango, Otsego and Schoharie.

The resolution was adopted by the following vote: Ayes 4806, Noes 0, Absent 0.

Mr. Thomas offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

**RESOLUTION NO. 214**

**TITLE: APPROVAL TO SPEND FUNDS FROM FOSTER CARE ACCOUNT DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES**

**WHEREAS**, the Department of Social Services maintains a Foster Care Account for the purpose of purchasing equipment for its agency operated Foster Care Program; and

**WHEREAS**, the Agency Operated Foster Home is in need of a washer, dryer and furniture in the amount of \$1,475.00.

**THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, that the following budget modifications be made:

**DECREASE**

10-00000-11120120 Foster Care Home - DNB \$1,475.00

**INCREASE**

10-18989-5220000 Equipment \$1,475.00

The resolution was seconded by Mr. Marshfield and adopted by the following vote: Ayes 4806, Noes 0, Absent 0.

Mr. Thomas offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

**RESOLUTION NO. 215**

**TITLE: GRANT AWARD: PREVENTION OF DETENTION  
PLACEMENTS & PINS SERVICES FUNDING  
DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES**

**WHEREAS**, the New York State Office of Children & Family Services has awarded \$35,000 in funding to the Delaware County Department of Social Services to apply to the preparation costs of implementing an Agency Operated Foster Home that will be used exclusively for respite foster care and emergency foster care pursuant to Chapter 57 of the Laws of New York for 2005; and

**WHEREAS**, the Delaware County Department of Social Services has located a community home that is suitable for this purpose and is currently being renovated.

**THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, that the Department of Social Services is authorized to accept this award and expend these funds on renovation and preparation costs for the County's

Agency Operated Foster Home Program

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the 2005 County Budget be modified as follows:

**INCREASE REVENUE:**

10-16119-43361900-6010601-971 State Aid ~~\$35,000.00~~

**INCREASE APPROPRIATION:**

10-16119-543272000-6010601-971 Grant Contractual  
Srvcs \$35,000.00

The resolution was seconded by Mr. Hynes.

Mr. Thomas noted that in his opinion this resolution addresses a very definite need in Delaware County.

The resolution was adopted by the following vote: Ayes 4806, Noes 0, Absent 0.

Mr. Donnelly offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

**RESOLUTION NO. 216**

**TITLE: 2005 BUDGET MODIFICATION  
SPECIAL ITEMS - JUDGEMENTS AND CLAIMS**

**WHEREAS**, the Internal Revenue Service has issued a penalty for the late payment of a federal tax deposit in the amount of \$4,374.12; and

**WHEREAS**, an appeal has been made for a waiver of this penalty and a denial has been received because of the waiver of a prior penalty for the late payment of a federal tax deposit in December of 2004; and

**WHEREAS**, this payment had to be made in a timely manner in order to avoid any increase in cost and the payment has been made out of Fiscal Affairs creating a shortage in that departments accounts; and

**WHEREAS**, the Office of the State Comptroller has recommended payment from the Judgements and Claims appropriation and the 2005 budget only appropriated \$2,000.00; and

**WHEREAS**, upon passage of this resolution the accountant will provide the proper corrections to the accounts.

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED**, that the following budget modification be made:

**DECREASE CONTINGENCY:**

10-11990-54900000	Contingency	\$2,375.00
-------------------	-------------	------------

**INCREASE APPROPRIATION:**

10-11930-54465000	IRS Penalties	\$2,375.00
-------------------	---------------	------------

The resolution was seconded by Mr. Thomas and approved by the following vote: Ayes 4806, Noes 0, Absent 0.

Mr. Donnelly offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

**RESOLUTION NO. 217**

**TITLE: PAYMENT OF AUDIT**

**WHEREAS**, bills and claims submitted and duly audited by the Clerk of the Board's office in the amount of \$1,503,926.49 are hereby presented to the Board of Supervisors' for approval of payment;

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED** that the County Treasurer be directed to pay said expenditures as listed below:

General Fund	\$817,423.84
Countryside Care Center	\$152,955.07
OET	\$10,253.26
Jail	\$132,207.85
Highway Audits, as Follows:	
Road	\$674.74
Machinery	\$61,289.65

Capital Road & Bridge	\$140,516.11
Capital Solid Waste	\$149,244.72
Solid Waste/Landfill	\$39,361.25

The resolution was seconded by Ms. Molé and adopted by the following vote: Ayes 4806, Noes 0, Absent 0.

Mr. Hynes offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

**RESOLUTION NO. 218**

**TITLE: 2005 BUDGET MODIFICATION  
VETERANS SERVICES AGENCY**

**WHEREAS**, the Director of Veterans' Services has indicated that the funding in his Travel and Repairs account are in need of additional funding; and

**WHEREAS**, the funding source is through the Department of Social Services which provides the Veterans' Agency with 100% of the cost of operations; and

**WHEREAS**, without this modification the Agency will not be able to make the monthly visits to Towns and Villages nor keep up the daily trips of veterans to the Albany VA Hospital.

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED**, that the following 2005 budget modification be made:

**INCREASE REVENUE:**

10-16510-41128901 Other General Dept. Income Other Depts.	\$6,000.00
---	------------

**INCREASE APPROPRIATIONS:**

10-16510-54415080 Maintenance & Repair Vehicles	\$5,000.00
10-16510-54625010 Travel Department	5,000.00
TOTAL	\$6,000.00

The resolution was seconded by Mr. Maddalone.

In response to Mr. Marshfield, Director of Veterans'

Service Robert Johnson explained that this resolution is a result of additional trips and an increase in fuel and maintenance costs.

Chairman Eisel commented that this is a worthwhile program.

The resolution was adopted by the following vote: Ayes 4806, Noes 0, Absent 0.

Upon a motion the meeting was adjourned for a short recess and reconvened with all supervisors present.

Mr. Shelton called up Resolution No. 210 and moved its adoption:

### **RESOLUTION NO. 210**

#### **TITLE: PUBLIC HEARING ON LOCAL LAW NO. 1 OF 2005 ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT**

**WHEREAS**, Local Law No. 1 of 2005 providing for application for designation of certain areas within the county as an empire zone and the environmental impact under the State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQR) has been introduced.

**NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED**, that a public hearing be held on Local Law No. 1 on Wednesday, September 28, 2005 at 12:45 p.m. in the Supervisors' Room of the Senator Charles D. Cook County Office Building, 111 Main Street, Delhi, New York.

The resolution was seconded by Mr. Rider.

Chairman Eisel announced that the public hearing will be held at 12:45 p.m. on Wednesday, September 28, 2005 at the County Office Building.

Mr. Nealis explained there were flooding issues on a parcel located in the Village of Walton and possibly on adjacent properties if they were developed, therefore, the parcel was taken out and 56 additional parcels located along most of the main street in the Village of Walton were added.

In response to Chairman Eisel, Mr. Nealis stated that a little more acreage was added.

The resolution was unanimously adopted.

Chairman Eisel made the following appointment:

Commissioner of Watershed Affairs Dean Frazier to the Watershed Partnership Council (CWC) on the Technical Advisory Group.

Chairman Eisel explained that each time a term comes up another county gets a chance at it, adding he believes Commissioner Frazier will be an excellent addition to the council.

Upon a motion the meeting was adjourned at 9:55 p.m.