

**REGULAR MEETING**

**DELAWARE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
APRIL 11, 2018**

The regular meeting of the Delaware County Board of Supervisors was held Wednesday, April 11, 2018 at 1:00 p.m. in the Supervisors' Room of the Senator Charles D. Cook County Office Building, 111 Main Street, Delhi, New York, Chairman Tina B. Molé presiding.

The Clerk called the roll and all Supervisors were present except Mr. Gladstone and Mr. Davis.

Mr. Marshfield offered the invocation.

Mr. Eisel led the Board in the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag.

The minutes of the previous meeting were approved with an addition requested by Mr. Valente to include Mr. Marshfield's full prepared statement under Resolution No. 64 entitled: *Authorization to Enter into an Option for Land Purchase*.

The Clerk noted that all communications received have been referred to their respective committees for review.

For standing committee reports Chairman of the Social Services Committee Wayne Marshfield called the Supervisors' attention to their 2018 Delaware County Summer Youth Employment Program form. The Youth Bureau is asking Supervisors to complete and return the form in order to identify the level of interest, intention and placement requests. The form needs to be returned to the Youth Bureau by no later than April 30.

Mr. Ellis offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

**RESOLUTION NO. 70**

**TITLE: 2018 BUDGET AMENDMENT  
TRANSFER OF FUNDS  
COUNTY INSURANCE**

**WHEREAS**, it is necessary to fund the County Insurance account to cover premiums on purchased policies for 2018 incidental liability claims, administrative costs and continued funding of the insurance reserve account

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED** that the following budget modification be made:

**FROM:**

10-11010-54350200	Board of Supervisors	\$6,576.00
10-11040-54350200	Clerk of the Board	2,265.00
10-11165-54350200	District Attorney	2,945.00
10-11325-54350200	County Treasurer	3,713.00
10-11327-54350200	Fiscal Affairs	1,912.00

10-11355-54350200	Real Property Tax Services	2,608.00
10-11410-54350200	County Clerk	10,353.00
10-11420-54350200	County Attorney	2,785.00
10-11430-54350200	Personnel	2,569.00
10-11450-54350200	Board of Elections	2,574.00
10-11620-54350200	Buildings	18,850.00
10-11670-54350200	Printing	66.00
10-11680-54350200	Information Technology	16,498.00
10-13110-54350200	Sheriff	43,458.00
10-13140-54350200	Probation	17,565.00
10-13150-54350200	Jail	94,570.00
10-13620-54350200	Code Enforcement	550.00
10-13640-54350200	Emergency Services	12,289.00
10-14012-54350200	Public Health Services	13,768.00
10-14310-54350200	Mental Health Clinic	29,909.00
10-14317-54350200	Alcoholism	12,810.00
10-14321-54350200	Expanded Mental Health Programs	1,150.00
10-16010-54350200	Social Services	65,212.00
10-16326-54350200	Economic Development	2,600.00
10-16510-54350200	Veteran's Service Agency	2,036.00
10-16610-54350200	Sealer of Weights & Measures	894.00
10-16772-54350200	Office for Aging	6,146.00
10-17510-54350200	County Historian	169.00
10-18020-54350200	Planning Department	3,450.00
10-18740-54350200	Watershed Affairs	2,416.00
22-18160-54350200	Solid Waste	83,768.00
26-15130-54350200	Highway Department	<u>154,720.00</u>
	Total:	\$621,194.00

**TO:**

10-19000-42280100	Interfund Revenue Insurance	\$621,194.00
-------------------	-----------------------------	--------------

**INCREASE REVENUE:**

10-19000-42280100	Interfund Revenue Insurance	\$621,194.00
-------------------	-----------------------------	--------------

**INCREASE APPROPRIATION:**

10-11910-54350200	Unallocated County Insurance	\$621,194.00
-------------------	------------------------------	--------------

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that any unexpended year-end balance in account 10-11910-54350200 Unallocated County Insurance be transferred to 10-19901-59990200 Operating Transfer (out) for transfer to the Insurance Reserve Fund.

The resolution was seconded by Mr. Spaccaferno and adopted by the following vote:  
Ayes 4294, Noes 0, Absent 505 (Gladstone, Davis).

Mr. Haynes offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

**RESOLUTION NO. 71**

**TITLE: RESCINDING RESOLUTION NO. 97 OF 2006  
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS**

**WHEREAS**, Resolution No. 97 of 2006 standardized roadside mowing equipment for Tiger mowers ensuring that the equipment was interchangeable between tractors and to reduce parts and knife inventory; and

**WHEREAS**, during the bidding process for Proposal No. 29-18 *Purchase of Tractor with Roadside Mower Equipment* it became evident that Tiger mowers had been purchased by a competitor and are no longer available.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED** that Resolution No. 97 of 2006 is herewith rescinded.

The resolution was seconded by Mr. Spaccaforno and unanimously adopted.

Mr. Haynes offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

**RESOLUTION NO. 72**

**TITLE: AUTHORIZATION FOR AWARDS  
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS**

**LETTING OF MARCH 15, 2018**

Notice to bidders and proposals received having been filed and the bidding procedures and documents having been approved by the County Attorney:

**BE IT RESOLVED** that the County Department of Public Works is authorized to make awards to the lowest bidders meeting specifications as follows:

PROPOSAL NO. 29-18      Purchase of Tractor with Road Side Mower Equipment:  
Monroe Tractor & Implement Co., Inc.,  
6 Equipment Drive, Binghamton, NY 13904

Purchase Price:            \$85,380.00

Towns may participate in this bid.

The mower bid meets or exceeds the previously standardized specifications.

All original bids and a summary sheet of the bids received are on file for inspection at the office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

The resolution was seconded by Mr. Spaccaforno and adopted by the following vote:  
Ayes 4294, Noes 0, Absent 505 (Gladstone, Davis).

Mr. Triolo offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

**RESOLUTION NO. 73**

**TITLE: AUTHORIZING THE SUBMISSION OF AN APPLICATION TO NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF COMMUNITY RENEWAL FOR FISCAL YEAR 2018 COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT FUNDING DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT**

**WHEREAS**, the Office of Community Renewal is accepting applications from eligible communities to compete for funds available through the 2018 Community Development Block Grant Program; and

**WHEREAS**, a request for assistance, in the form of a grant, has been received from a local business to aid in their business expansion project; and

**WHEREAS**, Catskill Food Company is proposing to undertake an expansion project that will result in the creation of five new full-time jobs within 24 months; and

**WHEREAS**, Delaware County has held a public hearing to obtain citizens' views in relation to the application for funds; and

**WHEREAS**, the NYS Office of Community Renewal application process requires that the governing body of the applicant authorize the submission of the application and related actions.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED** that the Board of Supervisors of the County of Delaware hereby authorizes and directs the Chairman of the Board of Supervisors and the Director of Economic Development to submit an application to the NYS Office of Community Renewal and to act in connection with the submission of the application, including the execution of all required certifications and forms and to provide such additional information as may be required.

The resolution was seconded by Mr. Axtell and unanimously adopted.

Mr. Triolo offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

**RESOLUTION NO. 74**

**TITLE: DELAWARE COUNTY REQUESTS THAT THE DELAWARE COUNTY ALTERNATIVE LAND ACQUISITION PROPOSAL BE INCLUDED IN THE NYC DEP APRIL 30, 2018 FILTRATION AVOIDANCE DETERMINATION REPORT ON TOWN LEVEL ASSESSMENTS TO THE NYS DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH DEPARTMENT OF WATERSHED AFFAIRS**

**WHEREAS**, the fundamental principle of the 1997 New York City Watershed Memorandum of Agreement is the following: [T]he parties recognize that the goals of drinking water protection and economic vitality within the watershed communities are not inconsistent and it is the intention of the parties to enter into a new era of partnership to cooperate in the development and implementation of a watershed protection program that maintains and enhances the quality of New York City drinking water supply and the economic vitality and social

character of the watershed communities”; and

**WHEREAS**, the 1997 New York City Watershed Memorandum of Agreement (“MOA”) also provides: “the parties agree that the City’s Land Acquisition Program, the City’s watershed regulations, and the other programs and conditions contained in this agreement, when implemented in conjunction with one another, would allow existing development to continue and future growth to occur in a manner that is consistent with the existing community character and planning goals of each of the watershed communities; and that the City’s land acquisition goals ensure that the availability of developable land in the watershed will remain sufficient to accommodate projected growth without anticipated adverse effect on water quality and without substantially changing future population patterns in the watershed communities” (hereinafter “Land Acquisition Principles”); and

**WHEREAS**, Section 1 of the New York City Water Supply Permit indicates that the “terms and conditions of this permit are authorized by, and designed to ensure that the project is consistent with section 15 – 1503 (2)... of the Environmental Conservation Law.” Section 15 – 1503 (2) provides that “before granting a permit, a permit renewal or permit modification pursuant to this section, the Department shall ascertain the probable effect on the health, safety and welfare of the people of the state, and the effect on the natural resources of the state likely to result from the proposed project or work.”; and

**WHEREAS**, the City’s Land Acquisition Program is premised on the principal that surface runoff from impervious surfaces and concentrated human activity poses a contamination threat to the City’s water supply. In developing the City Land Acquisition Program, the parties were concerned that the vast amount of open space within the West of Hudson Watershed created the potential for new significant adverse development in an unfiltered watershed. The City’s Land Acquisition Program was a tool to prevent and control such development; and

**WHEREAS**, in 1997 when the parties executed the MOA, approximately 11% of the watershed lands within Delaware County were under New York City and/or New York State control. Twenty years later, according to the December 2017 Land Acquisition Report, 27.9% of the watershed lands within Delaware County are under New York City and/or New York State control and such control is closing in on the 30% benchmark (as suggested in the 2012 – 2022 Long-Term Land Acquisition Plan) when memorandum of contract properties are included; and

**WHEREAS**, the past 20 years of post MOA activity have demonstrated that despite the vast amount of open space in the watershed lands within Delaware County (due in large part, to topography, climate and general economic and social realities), there is little or no potential for new significant adverse development in the watershed lands within Delaware County (in other words, the threat that the City Land Acquisition Program was designed to address does not exist in the majority of watershed communities within Delaware County); and

**WHEREAS**, during negotiations of the 2017 Filtration Avoidance Determination (FAD), New York City Department of Environmental Protection (NYC) agreed to reevaluate the 2010 Water Supply Permit Environmental Impact Statement conclusions regarding whether the City’s Land Acquisition Program “would allow existing development to continue and future growth to occur in a manner that is consistent with the existing community character and planning goals of each of the watershed communities; and [whether] the City’s land acquisition goals ensure that the availability of developable land in the watershed will remain sufficient to accommodate projected growth without anticipated adverse effect on water quality and without substantially

changing future population patterns in the watershed communities” (“NYC 2017 Individual Town Assessment”); and

**WHEREAS**, on October 31, 2017 as provided for in the 2017 Filtration Avoidance Determination and in response to NYC 2017 Individual Town Assessment, Delaware County and the other West of Hudson Counties, with support from the Coalition of Watershed Towns and financial and technical support from the Catskill Water Corporation, conducted an evaluation of the impacts from the City’s Land Acquisition Program. Delaware County issued the following report: *New York Land Acquisition Town Level Assessment 2017: Delaware County Evaluation and Response* (“*Delaware County 2017 Report*”); and

**WHEREAS**, *Delaware County 2017 Report* concluded and demonstrated that in a substantial number of Delaware County towns the actual amount of developable land remaining is insufficient to “allow existing development to continue and future growth to occur in a manner that is consistent with the existing community character and planning goals” of those communities; and thus the “City’s land acquisition goals for those communities did not ensure... the availability of developable land ...will remain sufficient to accommodate projected growth” and thus the continuation of City’s land acquisition could “substantially changing future population patterns...”; and

**WHEREAS**, at page 36 the 2017 FAD, DOH/EPA required a two-step review to the land acquisition program. The first review is in 2018 and applies to updating the 2012 – 2022 Long-Term Land Acquisition Plan. The 2017 FAD provides as follows:

The City provided new or updated Town Level Assessments for 21 WOH towns to NYSDOH, USEPA, NYSDEC, and WOH stakeholders. Following the release of those assessments, the City will accept stakeholder comments for 180 days. Based on the updated Town Level Assessments and its review of comments received, the City will evaluate the need for modification of its 2012-2022 Long-Term Land Acquisition Plan and discuss its conclusions with NYSDOH, USEPA, and NYSDEC. The City will share any proposed modifications to its solicitation plan, or the basis for a conclusion that no modifications are warranted, with the WOH stakeholders. During the period between February 14, 2017 and the City's adoption of a modified solicitation plan or conclusion that no Modifications are necessary, the City agreed to limit solicitations in certain towns; and

**WHEREAS**, the second more comprehensive review begins in 2022 and requires the City to develop a new Long-Term Land Acquisition Plan for the period 2023-2033. Page 36 the 2017 FAD provides as follows:

The current WSP became effective December 2010 and expires in 2025. While the term of the 2017 FAD extends into 2027, solicitation and funding requirements for the LAP beyond 2024 are contingent upon reissuance of the WSP. Application for a WSP to succeed the 2010 WSP is required by June 2022 to ensure adequate time for stakeholder input on the conditions of the successor WSP. In addition, the FAD requires the City to develop a new Long-

Term Land Acquisition Plan, which will cover the period 2023-2033 and will consider the findings of the National Academies Expert Panel review of the City's Watershed Protection Program. It is anticipated that the long-term plan and the Expert Panel findings will also help inform the conditions of the successor WSP; and

**WHEREAS**, the 2017 FAD calls for the continuation and robust funding for the City's Land Acquisition Program through 2027. In its consent to the 2017 FAD, in a letter dated December 27, 2017 to Howard Zucker, Commissioner New York State Department of Health, from Peter D Lopez, Region 2 Administrator, EPA stressed as important the "City and state efforts" in "the ongoing review of town – level assessments" and "City and state efforts" "to refine the program so as to ensure the City is soliciting parcels that will provide the highest value of watershed protection as well as address other important objective in the watershed"; and

**WHEREAS**, given the findings and conclusion above, Delaware County has determined that as part of the 2018 update to the 2012-2022 Long-Term Land Acquisition Plan, for those communities where the city's land acquisition goals do "not ensure ... the availability of developable land ... will remain sufficient to accommodate projected growth" and/or where the continuation of City's land acquisition could "substantially chang[e] future population patterns...(Special Condition 2, Water Supply Permit)", some form of relief should be provided; and

**WHEREAS**, in order to provide that relief, with the assistance of the Coalition of Watershed Towns and CWC, Delaware County has developed an Alternative Land Acquisition Program Option that will provide the impacted communities an opportunity to provide robust/enhanced protection of environmental – sensitive lands in a manner that will not "substantially chang[e] future population patterns..." The Option includes a fixed-term annual rental payment model that has proven to be effective in Delaware County. The Option focuses on environmentally sensitive lands (such as buffers) which directly contribute to water quality. This program would compensate landowners for the water quality protection provided by their land (including enhanced vegetated buffers) while still maintaining ownership. It will provide the balance between water quality and community sustainability and economic viability as required by the MOA. The impacted municipality would have to make a determination and a commitment to provide enhanced protection of sensitive lands in lieu and as a substitute for the continuation of the traditional DEP Land Acquisition Program. The municipal commitment would be for a period of years (e.g., 10 years); during that commitment period, DEP Open Space Land Acquisition Program would be suspended; and

**WHEREAS**, the alternative program is designed for those communities where the DEP Open Space Land Acquisition Program (intended to prevent development) has little or no water quality benefit and to substitute a program focused on protecting and enhancing lands sensitive to water quality that would not otherwise be protected with the cooperation and participation of the local community; and

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED** the Delaware County Board of Supervisors requests that as part of DEP's 2018 update to the 2012-2022 Long-Term Land Acquisition Plan, DEP include a commitment to work with the parties to develop and implement the Delaware County Alternative Land Acquisition Program Option; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** as part of the permit modification proceeding to

incorporate the components of the 2018 update to the 2012-2022 Long-Term Land Acquisition Plan, consistent with its obligations under section 15 – 1503 (2) of the Environmental Conservation Law and consistent with the Land Acquisition Principles of the 1997 MOA, requests that DEC incorporate the Delaware County Alternative Land Acquisition Program Option as an alternative for those impacted communities willing to make a long-term commitment to protect and enhance lands sensitive to water quality in lieu of the DEP Open Space Land Acquisition Program; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that this resolution be sent to New York Governor Cuomo, US Congressman John Faso, NYS Senators Bonacic, Seward and Akshar, NYS Assemblymen Crouch and Miller, EPA Region 2 Director Peter D. Lopez, NYSDEC Commissioner Basil Seggos, NYCDEP Commissioner Vincent Sapienza and NYSDOH Commissioner Howard A. Zucker, M.D., J.D.

The resolution was seconded by Mr. Eisel.

Mr. Triolo summarized the resolution stating that the County is asking that the Land Acquisition Program be paused at this time in order to give the County time to develop an alternative program. He called on Director of Planning Shelly Johnson-Bennett, to discuss the resolution further.

Mrs. Johnson-Bennett advised that the County Planning Department has made the case that the current Land Acquisition Program is having a negative impact on the community's long-term sustainability. The alternative program at this point is a voluntary termed leased base program that would enable a landowner to opt-out should they not wish to reenroll. The County does not agree that additional land acquisition under the current Land Acquisition Program benefits water quality. The County is proposing an alternative program that more directly relates to water quality, has more benefits to the City, and will better protect our communities sustainability.

Mr. Gregory noted that his participation in the work group and the development of an alternative approach to water quality has been a positive experience for him. He hopes the City will take this resolution to heart and recognize that the alternative program is truly geared to water quality. He felt the resolution addressed the matter well.

Mr. Marshfield shared that the City is proposing to purchase approximately 160 acres of land in the Town of Hamden. The land consists of 10 to 14 subdivided parcels. In his opinion, only one parcel would qualify for water quality, however, because the parcels are contiguous with other City properties they are buying all of the parcels. He opined that in his estimation this purchase is going too far.

Mr. Spaccaforo commented that the City is purchasing all of this land without knowing whether or not it is benefiting water quality. He expressed concern that if the current Land Acquisition Program continues the City could eventually own enough land to demand that the municipalities lower or eliminate their taxes. He encouraged more resolutions of this type to keep the matter in the forefront.

Commissioner of Watershed Affairs Dean Frazier stated that it is to the County's benefit to have the alternative program included in the FAD report the DEP is submitting to NYS Department of Health on April 30. Referencing Mr. Spaccaforo's comment, he advised that

despite the questioning no one has given an answer to how much land is enough.

The study completed by the County Planning Department is based on a full build out regarding impervious surfaces. It demonstrated that the potential for future development and sustainability is already severely limited. He pointed out that the County's study is being ignored by stakeholders determined to see more open space acquisition. Although the County's work group has expended a lot of effort promoting the County's alternative program there is push back. All three environmental groups are against the alternative program and the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation is backing away from its support of the program.

He reported that EPA Region 2 Director Peter D. Lopez has publicly stated that the Land Acquisition Program needs to be revisited in order to determine how much land is enough land and to ensure that sufficient attention is given to the community's welfare. Mr. Lopez's view is in line with the County's alternative program which is more focused on water sensitive lands rather than open space acquisitions.

Mr. Eisel stated that in his opinion it is not the City so much as the environmental groups that are actively pursuing the acquisition of more land.

The resolution was unanimously adopted.

Mr. Triolo offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

#### **RESOLUTION NO. 75**

##### **TITLE: NO FURTHER OPEN SPACE LAND ACQUISITION IN DELAWARE COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF WATERSHED AFFAIRS**

**WHEREAS**, the Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) acknowledges that the purpose of the Land Acquisition Program is to protect water quality, but also clearly states that this should be done while enabling sustainable and responsible growth for watershed communities; and

**WHEREAS**, Resolution No. 185 of October 25, 2017 details the Delaware County evaluation and response to NYC's impact analysis titled *New York City Land Acquisition Town Level Assessment 2017: Delaware County Evaluation and Response*, which is a town level assessment and buildout analysis which demonstrated that if all developable acres remaining in Delaware County were built out to the maximum extent possible, the amount of impervious land would not be enough to impact the drinking water supply; and

**WHEREAS**, the existing Watershed Rules and Regulations will mitigate any potential risks to water quality; and

**WHEREAS**, that same resolution provides the justification for the development of Delaware County's Alternative Land Acquisition Option; and

**WHEREAS**, there appears to be agreement among stakeholders that the Land Acquisition Program should begin to shift its focus toward protection of environmentally sensitive lands which directly protect the quality of the NYC drinking water supply; and

**WHEREAS**, Peter D. Lopez, EPA Region 2 Administrator, signed the Filtration Avoidance conditionally on the premise that: “The emphasis is to seek a continued, careful balancing of environmental objectives with the opportunity for achieving other important socio-economic goals for communities located within the watershed.” He goes on to say, “we are pleased with the City's and State's efforts... to evaluate opportunities to refine the program so as to ensure the City is soliciting parcels that will provide the highest value of watershed protection as well as address other important objectives in the watershed. In this regard, my office is also keenly interested in exploring the current "protectiveness" of existing lands controlled by New York City and the State of New York for promoting the purposes of the FAD and the overall cost-effectiveness/need for continued land acquisition.”

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED** Delaware County has provided substantial and credible evidence to justify our expectation that no further open space be acquired in Delaware County and we support the request of Peter D. Lopez to make a determination of the cost-effectiveness and need for continued land acquisition; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** the Delaware County Board of Supervisors calls for the stakeholder group to come together to develop a plan to end the Land Acquisition Program entirely; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** the Delaware County Board of Supervisors believes that targeted land acquisition programs are appropriate in the interim, with some conditions:

- For each acre purchased by NYC through the New York City Funded Flood Buyout Program, one developable, accessible acre shall be returned to that community for future community uses
- If towns are not given the ability to opt into the Streamside Acquisition Program, we do not support its expansion
- Per Resolution No. 74 of 2018, the Board of Supervisors fully supports the Alternative Land Acquisition Program.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that this resolution be sent to New York Governor Andrew Cuomo, US Congressman John Faso, NYS Senators Bonacic, Seward and Akshar, NYS Assemblymen Crouch and Miller, EPA Administrator Scott Pruitt, EPA Region 2 Director Peter D. Lopez, NYS DEC Commissioner Basil Seggos, NYC DEP Commissioner Vincent Sapienza, and NYS DOH Commissioner Howard A. Zucker, M.D., J.D.

The resolution was seconded by Mr. Valente.

Mr. Gregory stated that he fully supports this resolution and that the Town of Walton passed a similar resolution. He referenced a Board of Supervisors presentation given by the County Planning Department last summer that showed only six percent of developable land was left in the Town of Walton. Since then, the City has continued to purchase land either outright or through easements and is currently proposing the purchase a 1040 acre conservation easement. He added that the purchase would be greater than the size of the Village of Walton.

In reply to Mr. Marshfield, Commissioner Frazier agreed that the support of towns through the passing of a similar resolution would be beneficial. The Planning Department study shows clearly what municipalities already know—that developable acreage is being lost through

the current Land Acquisition Program, further stating these losses are in perpetuity.

The resolution was unanimously adopted.

Chairman Molé waived Board Rule 10 to permit the introduction without objection of the following not-prefiled resolutions.

Mr. Merrill offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

**RESOLUTION NO. 76**

**TITLE: SALE OF TAX ACQUIRED PROPERTY  
TREASURER’S OFFICE**

**RESOLVED** that the following described parcels of tax acquired property be sold to the party indicated for the price herein stated and the County Treasurer is authorized to execute and deliver deed, therefor, and to cancel all Delaware County tax liens thereon, upon receipt of cash consideration.

	HAN13TX.080
<b><u>ASSESSED TO:</u></b>	<b><u>SCARPINITO FRANK</u></b>
TOWN OF:	123689:HANCOCK
TAX MAP NO:	456.-3-7
SCHOOL DISTRICT:	123606:HANCOCK
ACREAGE:	1.80A ACRES
CONVEYED TO:	CHARLES DIRIG 16475 HWY 97 HANCOCK NY 13783
CASH CONSIDERATION:	\$65,500.00
TAX DEFICIT:	\$28,861.61

The resolution was seconded by Mr. Spaccaformo and Mr. Ellis.

Mr. Marshfield commented that the decision to pull this parcel from the foreclosure auction and advertise with real estate brought the County a better price.

The resolution was adopted by the following vote: Ayes 4294, Noes 0, Absent 505 (Gladstone, Davis).

Mr. Merrill offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

**RESOLUTION NO. 77**

**TITLE: PAYMENT OF AUDIT**

**WHEREAS**, bills and claims submitted and duly audited by the Clerk of the Board’s office in the amount of \$1,094,795.55 are hereby presented to the Board of Supervisors for approval of payment;

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED** that the County Treasurer be directed to pay said expenditures as listed below:

General Fund:	\$739,843.77
OET	\$36,592.71
Public Safety Comm System	\$174,012.17
Highway Audits, as Follows:	
Weights and Measures	\$0.00
Landfill	\$24,556.66
Road	\$60,942.10
Machinery	\$40,465.39
Capital Road & Bridge	\$18,382.75
Capital Solid Waste	\$0.00

The resolution was seconded by Mr. Triolo and adopted by the following vote: Ayes 4294, Noes 0, Absent 505 (Gladstone, Davis).

Upon a motion, the meeting adjourned at 1:20 p.m.