REGULAR MEETING

DELAWARE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

MAY 26, 2010

The regular meeting of the Delaware County Board of Supervisors was held Wednesday, May 26, 2010 at 1:00 p.m. in the Supervisors' Room of the Senator Charles D. Cook County Office Building, 111 Main Street, Delhi, New York, Chairman James E. Eisel, Sr. presiding.

The Clerk called the roll and all Supervisors were present except Mr. Rowe, Mrs. Capouya and Mr. Triolo.

Mr. Marshfield offered the invocation.

Mr. Layton led the Board in the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag.

The minutes of the previous meeting were accepted as presented.

The Clerk reported all communications received have been referred to their respective committees for review.

Mr. DuMond offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

RESOLUTION NO. 93

TITLE: 2010 BUDGET AMENDMENT UNDERAGE DRINKING ENFORCEMENT (UAD) DETAIL - 2010 SHERIFF'S OFFICE

WHEREAS, the Alcohol and Drug Abuse Council of Delaware County, Inc. has asked the Delaware County Sheriff's Office to enter into a partnership with them to provide manpower for law enforcement details associated with underage drinking enforcement details, party patrols and retail compliance checks for the period of January 1, 2010 through December 31, 2010

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the 2010 budget be amended as follows:

10-13110-42279900/3110033/907 INCREASE APPROPRIATION:	Misc Revenue Detail	\$11,592.00

The resolution was seconded by Mr. Axtell.

In answer to Mr. Bracci, Mr. DuMond explained that the Alcohol and Drug Abuse Council would like to enter into a partnership with the Sheriff's Office to help them with the enforcement of underage drinking compliance. Chairman Eisel recalled that years ago retail compliance checks were performed. In his opinion, the checks amount to entrapment and for that reason he will be voting against the resolution.

Sheriff Mills explained that the New York State Police have sought the cooperation of youth in retail compliance checks for several years. The funding for that compliance program comes from the State Police. These funds will be used by the Sheriff's Office to offset the cost of providing manpower for party patrols. The Sheriff's Office would only provide the State Police with backup if needed during a compliance check.

Mr. DuMond remarked that retailers are required by law to question anyone they believe to be under the age of twenty-one. If they fail to do this, they have to take responsibility.

In answer to Mr. Smith, Sheriff Mills stated that the patrols would enter private property as the majority of underage drinking parties are held on private property.

Sheriff Mills answered in reply to Mr. Valente, that the funding would provide approximately 50 hours of manpower which he felt would not put a strain on the office.

In response to Mr. Hynes, Sheriff Mills stated that the original grant to the Alcohol and Drug Abuse Council was \$20,000. The Council will provide an educational program with a portion of their grant funding.

Mr. DuMond added that the \$11,592 award is specifically for enforcement.

The resolution failed by the following the vote: Ayes 1754, Noes 2354 (Donnelly, Molé, Valente, Bracci, Smith, Marshfield, Eisel, Hynes, McCarthy), Absent 698 (Rowe, Capouya, Triolo).

Mr. Hynes offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

RESOLUTION NO. 94

TITLE: 2010 BUDGET AMENDMENT AS LOCAL SPONSOR FOR SNOWMOBILE GRANT PLANNING DEPARTMENT

WHEREAS, the New York State Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation (OPRHP) has made funds available to develop and/or maintain snowmobile trails in New York State; and

WHEREAS, the Delaware County Board of Supervisors approved Resolution No. 20, January 20, 2010 accepting \$116,680.00; and

WHEREAS, the New York State Snowmobile Association (NYSSA) has negotiated an additional \$500,000 to increase the award to local Trail Maintenance Entities; and

WHEREAS, at the May 2010 NYSSA Board of Directors (BOD) meeting, the NYSSA BOD voted to recommend that OPRHP increase the trail mileage amounts by approximately

12% in each of the trail classifications defined as high snow; and

WHEREAS, OPRHP has increased Delaware County's mileage awards accordingly;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Planning Department budget be amended to receive and dispense these additional moneys in compliance with the requirements of the Preservation Snowmobile Trails Grant Program as follows:

INCREASE REVENUE: 10-17989-43388900/8020014/908	State Otr Culture & Recreation	\$13,581.00
INCREASE APPROPRIATION 10-17989-54555000/8020014/908	Recreation Snowmobile Grant	\$13,581.00

The resolution was seconded by Ms. Molé and adopted by the following vote: Ayes 4108, Noes 0, Absent 698 (Rowe, Capouya, Triolo).

Mr. Utter offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

RESOLUTION NO. 95

TITLE: APPROPRIATION OF CAPITAL FUNDS DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

WHEREAS, a Gabion Retaining Wall on County Route 22 was damaged during the flood event of June 2006; and

WHEREAS, the county has been diligently working to develop a reconstruction plan that will meet the approval of all the involved agencies; and

WHEREAS, the Department would like to appropriate the necessary funding directly for this project.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that \$650,000 be appropriated from account 34-15112-54000000 to cover the cost of reconstruction.

The resolution was seconded by Mr. Hynes.

In answer to Mr. McCarthy, Mr. Marshfield stated that the \$650,000 is included in a Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Project Worksheet for the work and the money is in the 2010 budget as an anticipated revenue. FEMA will reimburse the county upon completion of the project.

The resolution was adopted by the following vote: Ayes 4108, Noes 0, Absent 698 (Rowe, Capouya, Triolo).

Ms. Molé offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

RESOLUTION NO. 96

TITLE: APPROVAL OF TRANSPORTATION BIDS FOR EARLY INTERVENTION AND PRESCHOOL SPECIAL EDUCATION PROGRAM (2 - 5 YEAR OLDS) PUBLIC HEALTH NURSING SERVICES

WHEREAS, a request for bids has been made and bids received, having been filed, and the procedures and documents having been approved by Richard B. Spinney, Esq., Delaware County Attorney;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the bids received for transportation of preschool children with special needs from various points around Delaware County to the 4410 programs listed below, from July 1, 2010 to August 31, 2011, be accepted as follows:

Proposal No. 1-10:

Institute for Child Development -

Program Name: Children's Unit for Treatment & Evaluation (CUTE) Program Site: Binghamton University, 4400 Vestal Parkway East, Vestal, NY 13902-

6000

Transporter: Coxsackie Transport, Inc.,11 Wayne Drive, Coxsackie, NY 12051

Bid: \$310.00 per round trip, 1st passenger 25.00 per additional passenger

The resolution was seconded by Mr. Hynes.

Mr. Bracci pointed out that this is an unfunded mandate.

In answer to Chairman Eisel, Director of Public Health Bonnie Hamilton explained that this is a new bid request because there is a child going to a new location. Two bids were received, one did not meet specifications.

Mr. DuMond noted that he will be voting against this resolution simply because it is another unfunded mandated. He stated he has mentioned this twice in the past that the county could provide the services more efficiently and economically but, being under the rules of the state the county has to do it their way. In addition, Public Health has offered incentives to parents who transport their own child. He feels that parents are not taking advantage of the incentives and as such there is a lack of parental responsibility. These are critical budgeting times and in his opinion, funding this transport with the requirements placed on the county is a frivolous waste of taxpayers money. This is an unfunded mandate and he asked the Board to vote against this resolution to send the message that the county will not support unfunded mandates.

Mr. McCarthy asked if the Board would have to provide the service even if the resolution was voted down. He surmised the state would retaliate, perhaps by withholding the county's sales tax revenue if the Board refused to cooperate.

Mr. DuMond remarked there is power in numbers and if more people say "no" to unfunded mandates things may change. He opined that counties had a better chance against retaliation if everyone stuck together.

In answer to Chairman Eisel, Mrs. Hamilton stated the New York State Department of Transportation (NYSDOT) has requirements depending on the specialized need, such as, safety and CPR certification, a certified aide on the bus, car seats, inspections, and travel time requirements.

Mrs. Hamilton explained in response to Mr. DuMond, that these children have specialized needs and there are a host of reasons that parents may not be able to transport their child. If the Board was to refuse transportation, the parents have a right to a fair hearing. She did not believe the county would win the fair hearing based on the fact that the county declined to transport due to an unfunded state mandate.

Mrs. Hamilton recognized the expense involved in the transport and noted that she would support the Board's efforts to look into a county transportation proposal that meets the NYSDOT requirements.

Chairman Eisel noted that the Office for the Aging is currently discussing transportation options to reduce the county's expenses. He suggested that Mrs. Hamilton contact Office for the Aging to discuss their needs.

Mrs. Hamilton pointed out that these children are under the age of three and without speech. If there was a vehicle going in the direction of a center based program site meeting the NYSDOT's requirements the child could be transported. The ARC used to transport the children for Public Health, but they have gotten out of the business. The children cannot be put in a vehicle until all New York State requirements are met.

In answer to Mr. DuMond, Mrs. Hamilton stated that the parent incentive program works well when transport is within the county, it becomes more difficult for the parents when the program sites are outside of the county. A downside to the incentive program is that the transportation cost per child goes up as a result of fewer children because the cost to keep the van on the road remains constant.

In answer to Mr. McCarthy, Mrs. Hamilton explained that there are approximately 15 to 40 children that have developmental needs that cannot be met in the usual learning situations and must be transported to a center based program.

Mr. Homovich noted that Public Health has done an excellent job in looking at all the alternatives in dealing with these programs. He agrees that at some point the county is going to have to do something about these unfunded mandates but, felt this program was not the place to fight. He did not feel that voting against this resolution would change anything and suggested the Board deal with the transportation issue as in the past until such time as it can be addressed in a different way. He commented that he did not feel putting additional county vehicles on the road was an avenue to discuss but, thought there may be possibilities in the cooperative transportation discussions.

He agreed with Mr. DuMond that the Board will have to take a stronger stand against

unfunded mandates and at some point the counties may want to organize and cooperatively do something to stop these unfunded mandates. For now, he suggested that members of the Board who have any connections and influence with state legislatures use it to get our concerns heard. He was sure there would be more unfunded mandates and less reimbursements in the future.

In answer to Mr. Dumond, Mrs. Hamilton stated that there are no income requirements in the special needs program. Any family having a child that meets the criteria for having a developmentally delayed child needing a center based program is eligible.

Mr. Bracci stated that his objection has nothing to do with the children. The program is too expensive and he agrees that the parents of these children should participate more. He felt as Mr. DuMond did, that this issue is as good as any to take a stand against unfunded mandates.

Mr. Marshfield questioned if the Board would handle this program any differently if it were not a mandated program. He did not believe the Board would choose to neglect the children, rather he felt it would do what was needed to take care of them.

In answer to Mr. McCarthy, Mr. Marshfield replied that the resolution is for the transportation of one child, five trips a week at a cost of \$78,000 a year.

Mr. DuMond commented that the county pays \$600,000 a year to transport all the children in this program.

Mr. Homovich noted that the county spent \$600,000 to provide legal aid to indigents and he would rather spend the money on the children.

Mr. DuMond clarified that his "no" vote is not about the children. He believes the county can provide the transportation more economically.

Mrs. Hamilton explained that the parents of these children are usually over their head with responsibility, have other children and a full-time job. If this resolution was not to pass, she did not know what the result would be.

The resolution was adopted by the following vote: Ayes 2211, Noes 1897 (Valente, Bracci, DuMond, Utter, McCarthy), Absent 698 (Rowe, Capouya, Triolo).

Mr. Utter offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

RESOLUTION NO. 97

TITLE: AUTHORIZATION FOR DISPOSITION OF PERSONAL PROPERTY NO LONGER NECESSARY FOR PUBLIC USE -DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

WHEREAS, section 215 of the County Law stipulates that the Board of Supervisors must authorize for the disposition of personal property no longer necessary for public use;

BE IT RESOLVED, that the Department of Public Works is authorized to sell by auction or sealed bid the following items:

Vehicle No.	Description	VIN
5	1998 Chevrolet Stake Truck	1GBJC34J5WF072192
339	1990 International Cab & Chassis	1HTSDZ2R5LH206579
341	1992 International Dump	1HTSDNXR7NH395269
555	1998 Plymouth Neon	1P3ES47C9WD675564
654	1983 Case Backhoe	9081502
OFA Indy 4	2002Thomas Bus	1T7KL2B2721111607

The resolution was seconded by Mr. Haynes.

In answer to Mr. Donnelly, Mr. Utter stated that the towns have the option to bid on any of the vehicles.

Commissioner of Public Works Wayne Reynolds asked that the towns have their bids to the department by June 2^{nd} .

The resolution was unanimously adopted.

Ms. Molé offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

RESOLUTION NO. 98

TITLE: LAND EXCHANGE BETWEEN DELAWARE VALLEY HOSPITAL AND DELAWARE COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH

WHEREAS, the Delaware Valley Hospital has some permanent structures on land owned by the County of Delaware and wish to own this property; and

WHEREAS, the Delaware County Mental Health Clinic is desirous of expanding their parking area; and

WHEREAS, there has been an agreement that the exchange of .06 acres would accomplish both goals;

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Delaware County Board of Supervisors authorizes the Chairman of the Board to execute the deeds to accomplish this land exchange.

The resolution was seconded by Mr. DuMond and unanimously adopted.

Mr. Utter offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

RESOLUTION NO. 99

TITLE: AUTHORIZATION TO ENTER INTO AGREEMENT WITH THE CATSKILL WATERSHED CORPORATION FOR THE OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE OF STORMWATER RETROFIT INFRASTRUCTURE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

WHEREAS, Resolution No. 182 of 2003 authorized the Chairman of the Board of Supervisors to enter into an agreement with the Catskill Watershed Corporation (CWC) for contracts that would benefit the Stormwater Retrofit Program; and

WHEREAS, CWC funded the purchase of Dicky John Controls that regulate salt applications; and

WHEREAS, the County is now eligible for \$1,446.50 in maintenance funds for the operation and maintenance of the Dicky John Controls under the CWC Retrofit Program

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Chairman of the Delaware County Board of Supervisors is hereby authorized to enter into a contract with the Catskill Watershed Corporation for the maintenance and operation of the Controls.

The resolution was seconded by Mr. Haynes.

Mr. Utter explained in answer to Mr. McCarthy, a Dicky John Control is a unit that is placed on the sand and salt trucks to coordinate the speed of the vehicle to the amount of material the driver wants to apply.

Mr. Marshfield pointed out that through CWC funding six Dicky John Controls were purchased at a cost of \$8,500. In addition, under the CWC Retrofit Program the county is entitled to a one time funding of approximately seventeen percent of the original cost to maintain the controls.

The resolution was unanimously adopted.

Mr. Dolph offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

RESOLUTION NO. 100

TITLE: APPROVING AND AUTHORIZING THE IMPLEMENTATION OF A PROGRAM ON WORKPLACE VIOLENCE PREVENTION FOR DELAWARE COUNTY PERSONAL DEPARTMENT

WHEREAS, New York State Labor Law Section 207(b), as amended by the 2006 New York State Legislature, now requires that public employers of 20 or more employees are to evaluate the safety of their workplace and implement a workplace safety training and violence prevention program for all employees; and

WHEREAS, Delaware County adopted a zero tolerance policy for Workplace Violence

in Resolution 185, dated August 20, 2003;

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Delaware County Board of Supervisors hereby approves and authorizes the implementation of a Workplace Violence Prevention Program for Delaware County, annexed to this resolution and presented at this meeting, with a review of said program to be made on an annual basis by the Workplace Violence Prevention Committee; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that such Program shall take effect immediately and will be available for review by any Delaware County employee at the Delaware County Personnel Office.

The resolution was seconded by Mr. Homovich and Mr. Marshfield.

In answer to Mr. Bracci, Director of Personnel Teddie Storey stated that there is no cost associated with this program however, the county is required to provide an annual training for the employees.

Mr. Bracci noted that the Town of Delhi employees were required to participate in a similar type training. The cost was four hours per employee in time paid by the taxpayers. He questioned the effectiveness of these programs in relationship to the expense.

Mrs. Storey said the employees are required to view the workplace violence video. This is an in-house employee training which will be coordinated by the department heads.

Chairman Eisel commented that the program imparts knowledge. The training may be helpful to an employee who has a tendency towards violent behavior and if after taking the training if the poor conduct continues there may be a way for the county to dismiss that employee.

Mr. Marshfield noted that each town has a similar policy.

Mr. Dolph said the in-house training program may limit the county's liability in the case of an event. In addition, it may help reduce the cost of our insurance policy or prevent an increase in it.

Mr. Bracci stated that Mr. Dolph's point was well taken but, remarked that he did not see how viewing a video was going to stop someone from violent behavior.

Mr. Donnelly commented on Prohibited Conduct item C from the Delaware County Workplace Violence Prevention Policy. Item C states that "No person, without legal authority, may carry, possess or use any weapon on County property or in County buildings or facilities." In his opinion, this is a back door way of penalizing legal fire arm owners. If an individual possesses a carry permit, they have a right to carry a weapon, it seems the Board is passing a resolution that says an authorized person cannot carry a weapon. For that reason he was not in favor of this resolution.

In answer to Mr. Utter, Chairman Eisel stated that this is a public building and the policy is that firearms are not to be brought into the building. If someone is caught entering the

building with a firearm, they will be arrested.

Mr. McCarthy said previously in the State of Texas anyone could purchase a gun but, they were not allowed to carry the gun into a restaurant or a bar. What changed that law, was a shooting that took place in a restaurant. It was later learned that a security guard, authorized to carry a weapon, had taken the weapon off in compliance with the law. Had there been someone legally armed in the restaurant the result may have been different.

Mr. Homovich noted that the county is going to have to be in compliance with federal regulations if it wants to receive federal funding. He pointed out that the county has been doing this for years, it just seems lately that there are so many more policies.

Mrs. Storey stated in answer to Mr. Valente, that the video is approximately 27 minutes in length.

Mrs. Storey noted that the policy is being driven by the New York State Department of Labor and has been established for the protection of the workforce. The program is another unfunded mandate the county is required to comply with. On one hand, it may appear to be taking away what is believed to be our inalienable rights but, on the other hand if a person authorized to carry a weapon entered a county building and became upset with an employee this person could potentially harm someone.

Mr. Dolph pointed out that the Board had previously approved the workplace violence prevention policy and no changes to that policy are being introduced by this resolution.

The resolution was adopted by the following vote: Ayes 3099, Noes 1009 (Bracci, DuMond, Utter), Absent 698 (Rowe, Capouya, Triolo).

Mr. Utter offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

RESOLUTION NO. 101

TITLE: ENTER INTO A TRI-COUNTY COALITION FOR THE PURPOSE OF PROVIDING AN INFORMATION CLEARINGHOUSE REGARDING THE NATURAL GAS EXPLORATION AND HARVEST INDUSTRY TO THE RESIDENTS OF THE THREE-COUNTY REGION ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT / WATERSHED AFFAIRS

WHEREAS, natural gas development may offer extraordinary and desperately needed economic opportunities for this region; and

WHEREAS, the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation has usurped landowner rights by essentially prohibiting the harvest of shale gas in the New York City watershed without compensation for the taking of those rights; and

WHEREAS, the most highly productive Marcellus Shale area, not in the New York City watershed, is predominately situated under the three county area of Wayne County, Pennsylvania, western Sullivan County, New York and southern Delaware County, New York adjacent to the Delaware River; and

WHEREAS, Delaware County previously expressed its support for natural gas drilling in Resolution No. 217, of December 9, 2009; and

WHEREAS, the general population throughout this region of New York State and Pennsylvania have raised reasonable concerns regarding pollution, cumulative impacts and safety about natural gas exploration; and

WHEREAS, there exists an environment whereby much misinformation is being disseminated about the natural gas industry that is not based in sound science; and

WHEREAS, there is a need to have a clearinghouse that can provide science-based information in order for the residents to make sound business and environmental decisions.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, the Delaware County Board of Supervisors, for the reasons set forth above, hereby expresses its desire to work with its neighboring counties of Wayne County, Pennsylvania and Sullivan County, New York to the extent our resources, allow to form a tri-county coalition in an effort to provide information based on sound science to its residents with regard to the natural gas exploration industry.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that this tri-county coalition shall be activated upon the adoption of this resolution by this county and similar resolutions by the Delaware County Economic Development counterparts in Wayne County, Pennsylvania and Sullivan County, New York.

The resolution was seconded by Mr. Valente.

In answer to Mr. McCarthy, Mr. Valente explained that the idea of the tri-county coalition is to encourage discussion on both sides of the argument. The information that seems to get the majority of the press is negative and not always an accurate portrayal of the natural gas industry. Everyone agrees that our residents need to be informed. The tri-county coalition provides an avenue to share information back and forth with people that are going through the same thing Delaware County is.

Chairman Eisel noted that the natural gas industry has received a great deal of negative press through the ongoing efforts of the environmentalists. The tri-county coalition will seek to bring new technologies and information forward to capture the interest of the press and balance the coverage the issue receives.

Assistant to the Commissioner of Watershed Affairs Tom Hilson, explained that the natural gas drilling industry has essentially lost the public relations war with the environmental community. The issue is not receiving balanced coverage and the negative press has been elevated to the point where the information is no longer in perspective. For example, a computer search on the topic of natural gas drilling may pull up one or two pro gas postings in comparison to fifty or sixty negative entries posted by the environmental community. Additionally, there has been a great deal of attention given to the 800 gallon spill that ended up in a stream in Dimock, Pennsylvania, but what is not receiving attention is the 1,800 wells that have been drilled and fracked over the last two years in Pennsylvania that have had no water quality issues reported.

In answer to Mr. Hynes, Mr. Hilson stated that there is no money in the budget. There

may be a request for seed money but, that has not been discussed. The plan is to seek donations from anyone that stands to receive some value from gas drilling.

The resolution was unanimously adopted.

Mr. Hynes offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

RESOLUTION NO. 102

TITLE: APPOINTMENT OF COUNTY HISTORIAN

WHEREAS, the County Historian Patrick H. Grimes, after seven years of dedicated service submitted his resignation which was effective February 25, 2010; and

WHEREAS, there is a need to fill this position

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that Gabrielle Pierce be appointed to the contractual position of County Historian for a two year term to expire December 31, 2012.

The resolution was seconded by Ms. Molé.

Mr. Hynes introduced Gabrielle Pierce as the new County Historian. He noted her experience was gained from serving for ten years as a town historian.

Mrs. Pierce thanked the Planning, Recreation, Culture and Community Committee for their support of her appointment and said that she looks forward to serving the county as its historian.

The resolution was unanimously adopted.

Chairman Eisel waived Board Rule 10 to permit the introduction without objection of the following not pre-filed resolutions.

Mr. Donnelly offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

RESOLUTION NO. 103

TITLE: SALE OF TAX ACQUIRED PROPERTY

Resolved that the following described parcels of tax acquired property be sold to the party indicated for the price herein stated and the County Treasurer is authorized to execute and deliver deed, therefor, and to cancel all Delaware County tax liens thereon.

ASSESSED TO:

TOWN OF: Tax Map No: COL08TX.043 <u>Rosa Valenti</u> 122400:Colchester 358.2-3-6 School District: Acreage: Conveyed To:

Cash Consideration: Tax Deficit:

Assessed To:

Town of: Tax Map No: School District: Acreage: Conveyed To:

CASH CONSIDERATION: TAX DEFICIT:

Assessed To:

Town of: Tax Map No: School District: Acreage: Conveyed To:

Cash Consideration: Tax Deficit:

The resolution was seconded by Mr. Homovich and adopted by the following vote: Ayes 4108, Noes 0, Absent 698 (Rowe, Capouya, Triolo).

Mr. Donnelly offered the following resolution and moved its adoption:

RESOLUTION NO. 104

TITLE: PAYMENT OF AUDIT

WHEREAS, bills and claims submitted and duly audited by the Clerk of the Board's office in the amount of \$994,531.91are hereby presented to the Board of Supervisors' for

122401:Downsville 185.00'F x 90.00'D: 0.38A Acres Rosa Valenti c/o Francis W Wood, Atty PO Box 357 Walton NY 13856 \$9,175.24 \$7,772.26

MER08TX.004

THOMAS W CASEY JR

124400:Meredith 65.-1-8 125202:South Kortright 2.20A Acres Thomas W Casey Jr 266 Radio Ave Miller Place NY 11764 \$1,679.81 \$1,290.83

ROX08TX.006

MARGARET CHESTER 124800:Roxbury 178.-1-37 124802:Roxbury 300.00'F x 200.00'D Acres Margaret Chester 741 County Hwy 41 Roxbury NY 12474 \$7,164.45 \$6,155.53 approval of payment;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the County Treasurer be directed to pay said expenditures as listed below:

General Fund OET	\$569,439.73 \$24,105.08
Highway Audits, as Follows:	
Road	\$53,838.69
Machinery	\$81,561.38
Capital Road & Bridge	\$136,690.55
Capital Solid Waste	\$0.00
Solid Waste/Landfill	\$78,896.48

The resolution was seconded by Mr. Marshfield and adopted by the following vote: Ayes 4108, Noes 0, Absent 698 (Rowe, Capouya, Triolo).

Chairman Eisel appointed Town of Deposit Supervisor Tom Axtell to the Southern Tier East Regional Planning Board.

Chairman Eisel made the following appointments to the CDO Workforce Investment Board:

Robin Halaquist, Labor Relations Supervisor, Mead Westvaco Steve Iacampo, Human Resources manager, Amphenol Aerospace Corp. Glen Nealis, Director of Economic Development

Upon a motion, the meeting adjourned at 1:55 p.m.